

It has come to my attention that Sinclair Broadcasting, which has access to 24% of the US's population through their TV stations, is going to air a documentary that is heavily biased against Presidential Nominee John Kerry 2 weeks before the election. They're pre-empting shows to do it. If they're going to air this program, why not put some of John Kerry's advertisements along with it or a show that analyzes Bush in a similar manner?

Balance. Where's the balance? I am not comfortable with media companies using their power to deny the public access to both sides of an issue. The airwaves are public property, are they not? This is not pay per view where people have a chance to choose what they see. They are being given only one side of the story to benefit one candidate or one viewpoint.

I'm asking the FCC to intervene as this is a serious issue in freedom of speech and goes against the American concept of checks and balances. Both sides should get a fair shake at using the airwaves to reach the American people. We used to criticize the communist regimes of other countries for controlling the media and denying all sides access to the airwaves. How is this different? I believe that public airwaves are just that--PUBLIC.

Please do your job, FCC. Protect America's right to make up their own mind.